


# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

## PCT



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:

(8108) Patent Attorney  
OHIE Kunihiisa

OHIE PATENT OFFICE  
Selva-Ningyocyo 6F, 14-6,  
Nihonbashi-Ningyocyo  
2-cyome, Cyuo-ku, Tokyo  
103-0013 JAPAN

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year)

22.11.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

**SDF-5050PCT**

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

**PCT/JP2004/011861**

International filing date (day/month/year)

12.08.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

13.08.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Int.Cl.<sup>7</sup> **H01G9/00, H01G9/012, H01G9/052**

Applicant

**SHOWA DENKO K.K.**

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

<p>Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Japan Patent Office</b></p> <p>3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan</p>	<p>Authorized officer</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>YAMADA Masafumi</b></p> <p>Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3565</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">5R</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">3387</div>
---	--	---

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/011861

Box No. I      Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing  
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ in written format  
☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/ 011861

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1 - 21</u>	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	<u>1 - 21</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1 - 21</u>	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

D 1:JP 5-234829 A(Showa Denko K.K.), 10.09.1993, Par. No. [0001]-[0021], Fig.1-2  
D 2:JP 9-45592 A(Nitsuko Corporation), 14.02.1997, Par. No. [0010]-[0013], Fig.1  
D 3:JP 5-343272 A(Showa Denko K.K.), 24.12.1993, Par. No. [0010]-[0012], Fig1  
D 4:JP 2003-142337 A(Showa Denko K.K.), 16.05.2003, Par. No. [0011]-[0041]  
& WO 2003/19592 A

1. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 11, 12, 17, 19-21

A resin-mold chip solid electrolyte capacitor comprising a plurality of solid electrolyte capacitor elements horizontally laid in parallel with no gap on a pair of oppositely disposed end parts of a lead frame is disclosed in D1.

And a method for producing a chip solid electrolyte capacitor, comprising placing and connecting a plurality of solid electrolyte capacitor elements on a pair of oppositely disposed end parts of a lead frame, stacking an under coating layer (equivalent to a fixing layer) which is extending across the plurality of capacitor elements, and molding the capacitor elements with a resin while leaving outside the external terminal parts of the lead frame is disclosed in D2.

The technical features disclosed in D1 and D2 are concerned with the same technical fields. The skilled person in the art would easily conceive the idea of employing the method disclosed in D2 to the solid electrolyte capacitor disclosed in D1.

Therefore, the subject matter of claims 1-3, 5-7, 11, 12, 17, 19-21 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the D1 and D2.

2. Claims 4, 10

The technical features of claims 4, 10 is disclosed in D3.

Therefore, the subject matter of claims 4, 10 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the D1, D2, and D3.

3. Claims 8, 9, 13-18

The technical features of claims 8, 9, 13-18 is disclosed in D4.

Therefore, the subject matter of claims 8, 9, 13-18 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the D1, D2 and D4.